



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/718,610

11/24/2003

Jan Alfons Albert Bouwen

Q78296

1688

23373 7590 10/10/2008
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 800
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

EXAMINER

PASIA, REDENTOR M

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2416

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/10/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/718,610 | Applicant(s) BOUWEN, JAN ALFONS ALBERT | |
| | Examiner REDENTOR M. PASIA | Art Unit 2616 | |

All Participants:

(1) REDENTOR M. PASIA.

(2) SCOTT H. DAVISON.

Date of Interview: 2 October 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: _____

(3) Aung Moe (SPE).

(4) _____.

Time: 3:00 pm (EST)

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-9 and 11

Prior art documents discussed:

Kung et al. (US 2003/0133558)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner Pasia informed Applicant's Representative, Mr. Scott Davison (Reg. 52,800), that with respect to the arguments presented in Applicant's Remarks (dated Sept. 19, 2008), the arguments have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn and prosecution is re-opened..

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Aung S. Moe/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2416
/Redentor M Pasia/
Examiner, Art Unit 2616

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

